

**ARTICLE XX
EXHIBITS AND ATTACHMENT**

- A. All Exhibits and the Attachment to this Agreement are incorporated as if set out fully.
- B. In the event of any inconsistencies or conflict between the language of this Agreement and the Exhibits and Attachment, the language of the Exhibits and Attachment shall control, but only to the extent of the conflict or inconsistency.
- C. This Agreement has the following Exhibits and Attachment:
1. **Exhibit 1** – Coronavirus Relief Fund Guidance for State, Territorial, Local, and Tribal Governments - Updated June 30, 2020
 2. **Exhibit 2** – Coronavirus Relief Fund Frequently Asked Questions – Updated July 8, 2020
 3. **Exhibit 3** – Department of the Treasury Memorandum for Coronavirus Relief Fund Reporting and Record Retention Requirements – July 2, 2020
 - a. **Addendum 3-1** – Department of the Treasury Memorandum for Coronavirus Relief Fund Reporting Requirements Update – July 31, 2020
 4. **Attachment A** – Designation of Authority

**ARTICLE XXI
NON-ASSIGNMENT OF AGREEMENT**

Neither the County nor the Municipality may assign, sublicense or otherwise transfer its rights, duties or obligations under this Agreement without the prior written consent of the other party, which consent shall not unreasonably be withheld.

**ARTICLE XXII
LIMITATION ON RIGHTS OF OTHERS**

The terms of this Agreement shall be binding upon, inure to the benefit of and be enforceable solely by the parties and their permitted successors and assigns, and nothing in this Agreement or by virtue of the transactions contemplated hereby, whether express or implied, shall be construed to constitute, create or confer rights, remedies or claims in or upon any person (as third-party beneficiary or otherwise) not a party hereto, or to create obligations or responsibilities of the parties to such persons, or to permit any person other than the parties and their respective successors and assigns to rely upon or enforce the covenants, conditions and agreements contained herein.

**ARTICLE XXIII
BINDINGS ON SUCCESSORS**

This Agreement shall bind the successors, assigns and legal representatives of the parties hereto, and of any legal entity that succeeds to the obligations of the parties hereto.

**ARTICLE XXIV
SEVERABILITY**

If any term or provision of the Agreement is found to be illegal and unenforceable, the remainder will remain in full force and effect, and such term or provision shall be deemed stricken.

**ARTICLE XXV
GOVERNING LAW**

This Agreement shall be construed, performed, and enforced in all respects in accordance with the laws and rules of the State of Florida. Venue or location for any legal action arising under this Agreement will be in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

**ARTICLE XXVI
ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

This Agreement and its Exhibits and Attachment constitute the entire agreement of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersede all prior written and oral agreements and understandings with respect to such subject matter. Neither this Agreement nor any of the terms hereof may be amended, supplemented, waived or modified orally. All such amendments, supplements, waivers and modifications must be in writing signed by the party against which the enforcement of the amendment, supplement, waiver or modification shall be sought.

**ARTICLE XXVII
HEADINGS**

Any heading preceding the text of the several sections of this Agreement shall be solely for convenience of reference and shall not affect the meaning, construction or effect of this Agreement. In the event of any conflict between any such heading and the text thereunder, the text shall control.

In acknowledgment of the mutual consideration herein, the parties hereby certify that they have read this entire Agreement, and will comply with all of its requirements.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA:

[MUNICIPALITY]

By: _____

By: _____

Edward Marquez
Deputy Mayor/Finance Director

[Name]
[Title]

Date: _____

Date: _____

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL
SUFFICIENCY:

By: _____

Assistant County Attorney

EXHIBIT – 1

Coronavirus Relief Fund Guidance for State, Territorial, Local, and Tribal Governments Updated June 30, 2020¹

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance to recipients of the funding available under section 601(a) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 5001 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (“CARES Act”). The CARES Act established the Coronavirus Relief Fund (the “Fund”) and appropriated \$150 billion to the Fund. Under the CARES Act, the Fund is to be used to make payments for specified uses to States and certain local governments; the District of Columbia and U.S. Territories (consisting of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands); and Tribal governments.

The CARES Act provides that payments from the Fund may only be used to cover costs that—

1. are necessary expenditures incurred due to the public health emergency with respect to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19);
2. were not accounted for in the budget most recently approved as of March 27, 2020 (the date of enactment of the CARES Act) for the State or government; and
3. were incurred during the period that begins on March 1, 2020, and ends on December 30, 2020.²

The guidance that follows sets forth the Department of the Treasury’s interpretation of these limitations on the permissible use of Fund payments.

Necessary expenditures incurred due to the public health emergency

The requirement that expenditures be incurred “due to” the public health emergency means that expenditures must be used for actions taken to respond to the public health emergency. These may include expenditures incurred to allow the State, territorial, local, or Tribal government to respond directly to the emergency, such as by addressing medical or public health needs, as well as expenditures incurred to respond to second-order effects of the emergency, such as by providing economic support to those suffering from employment or business interruptions due to COVID-19-related business closures.

Funds may not be used to fill shortfalls in government revenue to cover expenditures that would not otherwise qualify under the statute. Although a broad range of uses is allowed, revenue replacement is not a permissible use of Fund payments.

The statute also specifies that expenditures using Fund payments must be “necessary.” The Department of the Treasury understands this term broadly to mean that the expenditure is reasonably necessary for its intended use in the reasonable judgment of the government officials responsible for spending Fund payments.

Costs not accounted for in the budget most recently approved as of March 27, 2020

The CARES Act also requires that payments be used only to cover costs that were not accounted for in the budget most recently approved as of March 27, 2020. A cost meets this requirement if either (a) the

¹ This version updates the guidance provided under “Costs incurred during the period that begins on March 1, 2020, and ends on December 30, 2020”.

² See Section 601(d) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 5001 of the CARES Act.

cost cannot lawfully be funded using a line item, allotment, or allocation within that budget *or* (b) the cost is for a substantially different use from any expected use of funds in such a line item, allotment, or allocation.

The “most recently approved” budget refers to the enacted budget for the relevant fiscal period for the particular government, without taking into account subsequent supplemental appropriations enacted or other budgetary adjustments made by that government in response to the COVID-19 public health emergency. A cost is not considered to have been accounted for in a budget merely because it could be met using a budgetary stabilization fund, rainy day fund, or similar reserve account.

Costs incurred during the period that begins on March 1, 2020, and ends on December 30, 2020

Finally, the CARES Act provides that payments from the Fund may only be used to cover costs that were incurred during the period that begins on March 1, 2020, and ends on December 30, 2020 (the “covered period”). Putting this requirement together with the other provisions discussed above, section 601(d) may be summarized as providing that a State, local, or tribal government may use payments from the Fund only to cover previously unbudgeted costs of necessary expenditures incurred due to the COVID-19 public health emergency during the covered period.

Initial guidance released on April 22, 2020, provided that the cost of an expenditure is incurred when the recipient has expended funds to cover the cost. Upon further consideration and informed by an understanding of State, local, and tribal government practices, Treasury is clarifying that for a cost to be considered to have been incurred, performance or delivery must occur during the covered period but payment of funds need not be made during that time (though it is generally expected that this will take place within 90 days of a cost being incurred). For instance, in the case of a lease of equipment or other property, irrespective of when payment occurs, the cost of a lease payment shall be considered to have been incurred for the period of the lease that is within the covered period, but not otherwise. Furthermore, in all cases it must be necessary that performance or delivery take place during the covered period. Thus the cost of a good or service received during the covered period will not be considered eligible under section 601(d) if there is no need for receipt until after the covered period has expired.

Goods delivered in the covered period need not be used during the covered period in all cases. For example, the cost of a good that must be delivered in December in order to be available for use in January could be covered using payments from the Fund. Additionally, the cost of goods purchased in bulk and delivered during the covered period may be covered using payments from the Fund if a portion of the goods is ordered for use in the covered period, the bulk purchase is consistent with the recipient’s usual procurement policies and practices, and it is impractical to track and record when the items were used. A recipient may use payments from the Fund to purchase a durable good that is to be used during the current period and in subsequent periods if the acquisition in the covered period was necessary due to the public health emergency.

Given that it is not always possible to estimate with precision when a good or service will be needed, the touchstone in assessing the determination of need for a good or service during the covered period will be reasonableness at the time delivery or performance was sought, *e.g.*, the time of entry into a procurement contract specifying a time for delivery. Similarly, in recognition of the likelihood of supply chain disruptions and increased demand for certain goods and services during the COVID-19 public health emergency, if a recipient enters into a contract requiring the delivery of goods or performance of services by December 30, 2020, the failure of a vendor to complete delivery or services by December 30, 2020, will not affect the ability of the recipient to use payments from the Fund to cover the cost of such goods or services if the delay is due to circumstances beyond the recipient’s control.

This guidance applies in a like manner to costs of subrecipients. Thus, a grant or loan, for example, provided by a recipient using payments from the Fund must be used by the subrecipient only to purchase (or reimburse a purchase of) goods or services for which receipt both is needed within the covered period and occurs within the covered period. The direct recipient of payments from the Fund is ultimately responsible for compliance with this limitation on use of payments from the Fund.

Nonexclusive examples of eligible expenditures

Eligible expenditures include, but are not limited to, payment for:

1. Medical expenses such as:
 - COVID-19-related expenses of public hospitals, clinics, and similar facilities.
 - Expenses of establishing temporary public medical facilities and other measures to increase COVID-19 treatment capacity, including related construction costs.
 - Costs of providing COVID-19 testing, including serological testing.
 - Emergency medical response expenses, including emergency medical transportation, related to COVID-19.
 - Expenses for establishing and operating public telemedicine capabilities for COVID-19-related treatment.
2. Public health expenses such as:
 - Expenses for communication and enforcement by State, territorial, local, and Tribal governments of public health orders related to COVID-19.
 - Expenses for acquisition and distribution of medical and protective supplies, including sanitizing products and personal protective equipment, for medical personnel, police officers, social workers, child protection services, and child welfare officers, direct service providers for older adults and individuals with disabilities in community settings, and other public health or safety workers in connection with the COVID-19 public health emergency.
 - Expenses for disinfection of public areas and other facilities, *e.g.*, nursing homes, in response to the COVID-19 public health emergency.
 - Expenses for technical assistance to local authorities or other entities on mitigation of COVID-19-related threats to public health and safety.
 - Expenses for public safety measures undertaken in response to COVID-19.
 - Expenses for quarantining individuals.
3. Payroll expenses for public safety, public health, health care, human services, and similar employees whose services are substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency.
4. Expenses of actions to facilitate compliance with COVID-19-related public health measures, such as:
 - Expenses for food delivery to residents, including, for example, senior citizens and other vulnerable populations, to enable compliance with COVID-19 public health precautions.
 - Expenses to facilitate distance learning, including technological improvements, in connection with school closings to enable compliance with COVID-19 precautions.
 - Expenses to improve telework capabilities for public employees to enable compliance with COVID-19 public health precautions.

- Expenses of providing paid sick and paid family and medical leave to public employees to enable compliance with COVID-19 public health precautions.
 - COVID-19-related expenses of maintaining state prisons and county jails, including as relates to sanitation and improvement of social distancing measures, to enable compliance with COVID-19 public health precautions.
 - Expenses for care for homeless populations provided to mitigate COVID-19 effects and enable compliance with COVID-19 public health precautions.
5. Expenses associated with the provision of economic support in connection with the COVID-19 public health emergency, such as:
 - Expenditures related to the provision of grants to small businesses to reimburse the costs of business interruption caused by required closures.
 - Expenditures related to a State, territorial, local, or Tribal government payroll support program.
 - Unemployment insurance costs related to the COVID-19 public health emergency if such costs will not be reimbursed by the federal government pursuant to the CARES Act or otherwise.
 6. Any other COVID-19-related expenses reasonably necessary to the function of government that satisfy the Fund's eligibility criteria.

Nonexclusive examples of ineligible expenditures³

The following is a list of examples of costs that would *not* be eligible expenditures of payments from the Fund.

1. Expenses for the State share of Medicaid.⁴
2. Damages covered by insurance.
3. Payroll or benefits expenses for employees whose work duties are not substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency.
4. Expenses that have been or will be reimbursed under any federal program, such as the reimbursement by the federal government pursuant to the CARES Act of contributions by States to State unemployment funds.
5. Reimbursement to donors for donated items or services.
6. Workforce bonuses other than hazard pay or overtime.
7. Severance pay.
8. Legal settlements.

³ In addition, pursuant to section 5001(b) of the CARES Act, payments from the Fund may not be expended for an elective abortion or on research in which a human embryo is destroyed, discarded, or knowingly subjected to risk of injury or death. The prohibition on payment for abortions does not apply to an abortion if the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest; or in the case where a woman suffers from a physical disorder, physical injury, or physical illness, including a life-endangering physical condition caused by or arising from the pregnancy itself, that would, as certified by a physician, place the woman in danger of death unless an abortion is performed. Furthermore, no government which receives payments from the Fund may discriminate against a health care entity on the basis that the entity does not provide, pay for, provide coverage of, or refer for abortions.

⁴ See 42 C.F.R. § 433.51 and 45 C.F.R. § 75.306.

EXHIBIT – 2

Coronavirus Relief Fund Frequently Asked Questions Updated as of July 8, 2020

The following answers to frequently asked questions supplement Treasury’s Coronavirus Relief Fund (“Fund”) Guidance for State, Territorial, Local, and Tribal Governments, dated April 22, 2020, (“Guidance”).¹ Amounts paid from the Fund are subject to the restrictions outlined in the Guidance and set forth in section 601(d) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 5001 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (“CARES Act”).

Eligible Expenditures

Are governments required to submit proposed expenditures to Treasury for approval?

No. Governments are responsible for making determinations as to what expenditures are necessary due to the public health emergency with respect to COVID-19 and do not need to submit any proposed expenditures to Treasury.

The Guidance says that funding can be used to meet payroll expenses for public safety, public health, health care, human services, and similar employees whose services are substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency. How does a government determine whether payroll expenses for a given employee satisfy the “substantially dedicated” condition?

The Fund is designed to provide ready funding to address unforeseen financial needs and risks created by the COVID-19 public health emergency. For this reason, and as a matter of administrative convenience in light of the emergency nature of this program, a State, territorial, local, or Tribal government may presume that payroll costs for public health and public safety employees are payments for services substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency, unless the chief executive (or equivalent) of the relevant government determines that specific circumstances indicate otherwise.

The Guidance says that a cost was not accounted for in the most recently approved budget if the cost is for a substantially different use from any expected use of funds in such a line item, allotment, or allocation. What would qualify as a “substantially different use” for purposes of the Fund eligibility?

Costs incurred for a “substantially different use” include, but are not necessarily limited to, costs of personnel and services that were budgeted for in the most recently approved budget but which, due entirely to the COVID-19 public health emergency, have been diverted to substantially different functions. This would include, for example, the costs of redeploying corrections facility staff to enable compliance with COVID-19 public health precautions through work such as enhanced sanitation or enforcing social distancing measures; the costs of redeploying police to support management and enforcement of stay-at-home orders; or the costs of diverting educational support staff or faculty to develop online learning capabilities, such as through providing information technology support that is not part of the staff or faculty’s ordinary responsibilities.

Note that a public function does not become a “substantially different use” merely because it is provided from a different location or through a different manner. For example, although developing online instruction capabilities may be a substantially different use of funds, online instruction itself is not a substantially different use of public funds than classroom instruction.

¹ The Guidance is available at <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Coronavirus-Relief-Fund-Guidance-for-State-Territorial-Local-and-Tribal-Governments.pdf>.

May a State receiving a payment transfer funds to a local government?

Yes, provided that the transfer qualifies as a necessary expenditure incurred due to the public health emergency and meets the other criteria of section 601(d) of the Social Security Act. Such funds would be subject to recoupment by the Treasury Department if they have not been used in a manner consistent with section 601(d) of the Social Security Act.

May a unit of local government receiving a Fund payment transfer funds to another unit of government?

Yes. For example, a county may transfer funds to a city, town, or school district within the county and a county or city may transfer funds to its State, provided that the transfer qualifies as a necessary expenditure incurred due to the public health emergency and meets the other criteria of section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance. For example, a transfer from a county to a constituent city would not be permissible if the funds were intended to be used simply to fill shortfalls in government revenue to cover expenditures that would not otherwise qualify as an eligible expenditure.

Is a Fund payment recipient required to transfer funds to a smaller, constituent unit of government within its borders?

No. For example, a county recipient is not required to transfer funds to smaller cities within the county's borders.

Are recipients required to use other federal funds or seek reimbursement under other federal programs before using Fund payments to satisfy eligible expenses?

No. Recipients may use Fund payments for any expenses eligible under section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance. Fund payments are not required to be used as the source of funding of last resort. However, as noted below, recipients may not use payments from the Fund to cover expenditures for which they will receive reimbursement.

Are there prohibitions on combining a transaction supported with Fund payments with other CARES Act funding or COVID-19 relief Federal funding?

Recipients will need to consider the applicable restrictions and limitations of such other sources of funding. In addition, expenses that have been or will be reimbursed under any federal program, such as the reimbursement by the federal government pursuant to the CARES Act of contributions by States to State unemployment funds, are not eligible uses of Fund payments.

Are States permitted to use Fund payments to support state unemployment insurance funds generally?

To the extent that the costs incurred by a state unemployment insurance fund are incurred due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, a State may use Fund payments to make payments to its respective state unemployment insurance fund, separate and apart from such State's obligation to the unemployment insurance fund as an employer. This will permit States to use Fund payments to prevent expenses related to the public health emergency from causing their state unemployment insurance funds to become insolvent.

Are recipients permitted to use Fund payments to pay for unemployment insurance costs incurred by the recipient as an employer?

Yes, Fund payments may be used for unemployment insurance costs incurred by the recipient as an employer (for example, as a reimbursing employer) related to the COVID-19 public health emergency if such costs will not be reimbursed by the federal government pursuant to the CARES Act or otherwise.

The Guidance states that the Fund may support a “broad range of uses” including payroll expenses for several classes of employees whose services are “substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency.” What are some examples of types of covered employees?

The Guidance provides examples of broad classes of employees whose payroll expenses would be eligible expenses under the Fund. These classes of employees include public safety, public health, health care, human services, and similar employees whose services are substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency. Payroll and benefit costs associated with public employees who could have been furloughed or otherwise laid off but who were instead repurposed to perform previously unbudgeted functions substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency are also covered. Other eligible expenditures include payroll and benefit costs of educational support staff or faculty responsible for developing online learning capabilities necessary to continue educational instruction in response to COVID-19-related school closures. Please see the Guidance for a discussion of what is meant by an expense that was not accounted for in the budget most recently approved as of March 27, 2020.

In some cases, first responders and critical health care workers that contract COVID-19 are eligible for workers’ compensation coverage. Is the cost of this expanded workers compensation coverage eligible?

Increased workers compensation cost to the government due to the COVID-19 public health emergency incurred during the period beginning March 1, 2020, and ending December 30, 2020, is an eligible expense.

If a recipient would have decommissioned equipment or not renewed a lease on particular office space or equipment but decides to continue to use the equipment or to renew the lease in order to respond to the public health emergency, are the costs associated with continuing to operate the equipment or the ongoing lease payments eligible expenses?

Yes. To the extent the expenses were previously unbudgeted and are otherwise consistent with section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance, such expenses would be eligible.

May recipients provide stipends to employees for eligible expenses (for example, a stipend to employees to improve telework capabilities) rather than require employees to incur the eligible cost and submit for reimbursement?

Expenditures paid for with payments from the Fund must be limited to those that are necessary due to the public health emergency. As such, unless the government were to determine that providing assistance in the form of a stipend is an administrative necessity, the government should provide such assistance on a reimbursement basis to ensure as much as possible that funds are used to cover only eligible expenses.

May Fund payments be used for COVID-19 public health emergency recovery planning?

Yes. Expenses associated with conducting a recovery planning project or operating a recovery coordination office would be eligible, if the expenses otherwise meet the criteria set forth in section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance.

Are expenses associated with contact tracing eligible?

Yes, expenses associated with contract tracing are eligible.

To what extent may a government use Fund payments to support the operations of private hospitals?

Governments may use Fund payments to support public or private hospitals to the extent that the costs are necessary expenditures incurred due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, but the form such assistance would take may differ. In particular, financial assistance to private hospitals could take the form of a grant or a short-term loan.

May payments from the Fund be used to assist individuals with enrolling in a government benefit program for those who have been laid off due to COVID-19 and thereby lost health insurance?

Yes. To the extent that the relevant government official determines that these expenses are necessary and they meet the other requirements set forth in section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance, these expenses are eligible.

May recipients use Fund payments to facilitate livestock depopulation incurred by producers due to supply chain disruptions?

Yes, to the extent these efforts are deemed necessary for public health reasons or as a form of economic support as a result of the COVID-19 health emergency.

Would providing a consumer grant program to prevent eviction and assist in preventing homelessness be considered an eligible expense?

Yes, assuming that the recipient considers the grants to be a necessary expense incurred due to the COVID-19 public health emergency and the grants meet the other requirements for the use of Fund payments under section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance. As a general matter, providing assistance to recipients to enable them to meet property tax requirements would not be an eligible use of funds, but exceptions may be made in the case of assistance designed to prevent foreclosures.

May recipients create a “payroll support program” for public employees?

Use of payments from the Fund to cover payroll or benefits expenses of public employees are limited to those employees whose work duties are substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency.

May recipients use Fund payments to cover employment and training programs for employees that have been furloughed due to the public health emergency?

Yes, this would be an eligible expense if the government determined that the costs of such employment and training programs would be necessary due to the public health emergency.

May recipients use Fund payments to provide emergency financial assistance to individuals and families directly impacted by a loss of income due to the COVID-19 public health emergency?

Yes, if a government determines such assistance to be a necessary expenditure. Such assistance could include, for example, a program to assist individuals with payment of overdue rent or mortgage payments to avoid eviction or foreclosure or unforeseen financial costs for funerals and other emergency individual needs. Such assistance should be structured in a manner to ensure as much as possible, within the realm of what is administratively feasible, that such assistance is necessary.

The Guidance provides that eligible expenditures may include expenditures related to the provision of grants to small businesses to reimburse the costs of business interruption caused by required closures. What is meant by a “small business,” and is the Guidance intended to refer only to expenditures to cover administrative expenses of such a grant program?

Governments have discretion to determine what payments are necessary. A program that is aimed at assisting small businesses with the costs of business interruption caused by required closures should be tailored to assist those businesses in need of such assistance. The amount of a grant to a small business to reimburse the costs of business interruption caused by required closures would also be an eligible expenditure under section 601(d) of the Social Security Act, as outlined in the Guidance.

The Guidance provides that expenses associated with the provision of economic support in connection with the public health emergency, such as expenditures related to the provision of grants to small businesses to reimburse the costs of business interruption caused by required closures, would constitute eligible expenditures of Fund payments. Would such expenditures be eligible in the absence of a stay-at-home order?

Fund payments may be used for economic support in the absence of a stay-at-home order if such expenditures are determined by the government to be necessary. This may include, for example, a grant program to benefit small businesses that close voluntarily to promote social distancing measures or that are affected by decreased customer demand as a result of the COVID-19 public health emergency.

May Fund payments be used to assist impacted property owners with the payment of their property taxes?

Fund payments may not be used for government revenue replacement, including the provision of assistance to meet tax obligations.

May Fund payments be used to replace foregone utility fees? If not, can Fund payments be used as a direct subsidy payment to all utility account holders?

Fund payments may not be used for government revenue replacement, including the replacement of unpaid utility fees. Fund payments may be used for subsidy payments to electricity account holders to the extent that the subsidy payments are deemed by the recipient to be necessary expenditures incurred due to the COVID-19 public health emergency and meet the other criteria of section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance. For example, if determined to be a necessary expenditure, a government could provide grants to individuals facing economic hardship to allow them to pay their utility fees and thereby continue to receive essential services.

Could Fund payments be used for capital improvement projects that broadly provide potential economic development in a community?

In general, no. If capital improvement projects are not necessary expenditures incurred due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, then Fund payments may not be used for such projects.

However, Fund payments may be used for the expenses of, for example, establishing temporary public medical facilities and other measures to increase COVID-19 treatment capacity or improve mitigation measures, including related construction costs.

The Guidance includes workforce bonuses as an example of ineligible expenses but provides that hazard pay would be eligible if otherwise determined to be a necessary expense. Is there a specific definition of “hazard pay”?

Hazard pay means additional pay for performing hazardous duty or work involving physical hardship, in each case that is related to COVID-19.

The Guidance provides that ineligible expenditures include “[p]ayroll or benefits expenses for employees whose work duties are not substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency.” Is this intended to relate only to public employees?

Yes. This particular nonexclusive example of an ineligible expenditure relates to public employees. A recipient would not be permitted to pay for payroll or benefit expenses of private employees and any financial assistance (such as grants or short-term loans) to private employers are not subject to the restriction that the private employers’ employees must be substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency.

May counties pre-pay with CARES Act funds for expenses such as a one or two-year facility lease, such as to house staff hired in response to COVID-19?

A government should not make prepayments on contracts using payments from the Fund to the extent that doing so would not be consistent with its ordinary course policies and procedures.

Must a stay-at-home order or other public health mandate be in effect in order for a government to provide assistance to small businesses using payments from the Fund?

No. The Guidance provides, as an example of an eligible use of payments from the Fund, expenditures related to the provision of grants to small businesses to reimburse the costs of business interruption caused by required closures. Such assistance may be provided using amounts received from the Fund in the absence of a requirement to close businesses if the relevant government determines that such expenditures are necessary in response to the public health emergency.

Should States receiving a payment transfer funds to local governments that did not receive payments directly from Treasury?

Yes, provided that the transferred funds are used by the local government for eligible expenditures under the statute. To facilitate prompt distribution of Title V funds, the CARES Act authorized Treasury to make direct payments to local governments with populations in excess of 500,000, in amounts equal to 45% of the local government's per capita share of the statewide allocation. This statutory structure was based on a recognition that it is more administratively feasible to rely on States, rather than the federal government, to manage the transfer of funds to smaller local governments. Consistent with the needs of all local governments for funding to address the public health emergency, States should transfer funds to local governments with populations of 500,000 or less, using as a benchmark the per capita allocation formula that governs payments to larger local governments. This approach will ensure equitable treatment among local governments of all sizes.

For example, a State received the minimum \$1.25 billion allocation and had one county with a population over 500,000 that received \$250 million directly. The State should distribute 45 percent of the \$1 billion it received, or \$450 million, to local governments within the State with a population of 500,000 or less.

May a State impose restrictions on transfers of funds to local governments?

Yes, to the extent that the restrictions facilitate the State's compliance with the requirements set forth in section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance and other applicable requirements such as the Single Audit Act, discussed below. Other restrictions are not permissible.

If a recipient must issue tax anticipation notes (TANs) to make up for tax due date deferrals or revenue shortfalls, are the expenses associated with the issuance eligible uses of Fund payments?

If a government determines that the issuance of TANs is necessary due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, the government may expend payments from the Fund on the interest expense payable on TANs by the borrower and unbudgeted administrative and transactional costs, such as necessary payments to advisors and underwriters, associated with the issuance of the TANs.

May recipients use Fund payments to expand rural broadband capacity to assist with distance learning and telework?

Such expenditures would only be permissible if they are necessary for the public health emergency. The cost of projects that would not be expected to increase capacity to a significant extent until the need for distance learning and telework have passed due to this public health emergency would not be necessary due to the public health emergency and thus would not be eligible uses of Fund payments.

Are costs associated with increased solid waste capacity an eligible use of payments from the Fund?

Yes, costs to address increase in solid waste as a result of the public health emergency, such as relates to the disposal of used personal protective equipment, would be an eligible expenditure.

May payments from the Fund be used to cover across-the-board hazard pay for employees working during a state of emergency?

No. The Guidance says that funding may be used to meet payroll expenses for public safety, public health, health care, human services, and similar employees whose services are substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency. Hazard pay is a form of payroll expense and is subject to this limitation, so Fund payments may only be used to cover hazard pay for such individuals.

May Fund payments be used for expenditures related to the administration of Fund payments by a State, territorial, local, or Tribal government?

Yes, if the administrative expenses represent an increase over previously budgeted amounts and are limited to what is necessary. For example, a State may expend Fund payments on necessary administrative expenses incurred with respect to a new grant program established to disburse amounts received from the Fund.

May recipients use Fund payments to provide loans?

Yes, if the loans otherwise qualify as eligible expenditures under section 601(d) of the Social Security Act as implemented by the Guidance. Any amounts repaid by the borrower before December 30, 2020, must be either returned to Treasury upon receipt by the unit of government providing the loan or used for another expense that qualifies as an eligible expenditure under section 601(d) of the Social Security Act. Any amounts not repaid by the borrower until after December 30, 2020, must be returned to Treasury upon receipt by the unit of government lending the funds.

May Fund payments be used for expenditures necessary to prepare for a future COVID-19 outbreak?

Fund payments may be used only for expenditures necessary to address the current COVID-19 public health emergency. For example, a State may spend Fund payments to create a reserve of personal protective equipment or develop increased intensive care unit capacity to support regions in its jurisdiction not yet affected, but likely to be impacted by the current COVID-19 pandemic.

May funds be used to satisfy non-federal matching requirements under the Stafford Act?

Yes, payments from the Fund may be used to meet the non-federal matching requirements for Stafford Act assistance to the extent such matching requirements entail COVID-19-related costs that otherwise satisfy the Fund's eligibility criteria and the Stafford Act. Regardless of the use of Fund payments for such purposes, FEMA funding is still dependent on FEMA's determination of eligibility under the Stafford Act.

Must a State, local, or tribal government require applications to be submitted by businesses or individuals before providing assistance using payments from the Fund?

Governments have discretion to determine how to tailor assistance programs they establish in response to the COVID-19 public health emergency. However, such a program should be structured in such a manner as will ensure that such assistance is determined to be necessary in response to the COVID-19 public health emergency and otherwise satisfies the requirements of the CARES Act and other applicable law. For example, a per capita payment to residents of a particular jurisdiction without an assessment of individual need would not be an appropriate use of payments from the Fund.

May Fund payments be provided to non-profits for distribution to individuals in need of financial assistance, such as rent relief?

Yes, non-profits may be used to distribute assistance. Regardless of how the assistance is structured, the financial assistance provided would have to be related to COVID-19.

May recipients use Fund payments to remarket the recipient's convention facilities and tourism industry?

Yes, if the costs of such remarketing satisfy the requirements of the CARES Act. Expenses incurred to publicize the resumption of activities and steps taken to ensure a safe experience may be needed due to

the public health emergency. Expenses related to developing a long-term plan to reposition a recipient's convention and tourism industry and infrastructure would not be incurred due to the public health emergency and therefore may not be covered using payments from the Fund.

May a State provide assistance to farmers and meat processors to expand capacity, such to cover overtime for USDA meat inspectors?

If a State determines that expanding meat processing capacity, including by paying overtime to USDA meat inspectors, is a necessary expense incurred due to the public health emergency, such as if increased capacity is necessary to allow farmers and processors to donate meat to food banks, then such expenses are eligible expenses, provided that the expenses satisfy the other requirements set forth in section 601(d) of the Social Security Act outlined in the Guidance.

The guidance provides that funding may be used to meet payroll expenses for public safety, public health, health care, human services, and similar employees whose services are substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency. May Fund payments be used to cover such an employee's entire payroll cost or just the portion of time spent on mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency?

As a matter of administrative convenience, the entire payroll cost of an employee whose time is substantially dedicated to mitigating or responding to the COVID-19 public health emergency is eligible, provided that such payroll costs are incurred by December 30, 2020. An employer may also track time spent by employees related to COVID-19 and apply Fund payments on that basis but would need to do so consistently within the relevant agency or department.

May Fund payments be used to cover increased administrative leave costs of public employees who could not telework in the event of a stay at home order or a case of COVID-19 in the workplace?

The statute requires that payments be used only to cover costs that were not accounted for in the budget most recently approved as of March 27, 2020. As stated in the Guidance, a cost meets this requirement if either (a) the cost cannot lawfully be funded using a line item, allotment, or allocation within that budget or (b) the cost is for a substantially different use from any expected use of funds in such a line item, allotment, or allocation. If the cost of an employee was allocated to administrative leave to a greater extent than was expected, the cost of such administrative leave may be covered using payments from the Fund.

Questions Related to Administration of Fund Payments

Do governments have to return unspent funds to Treasury?

Yes. Section 601(f)(2) of the Social Security Act, as added by section 5001(a) of the CARES Act, provides for recoupment by the Department of the Treasury of amounts received from the Fund that have not been used in a manner consistent with section 601(d) of the Social Security Act. If a government has not used funds it has received to cover costs that were incurred by December 30, 2020, as required by the statute, those funds must be returned to the Department of the Treasury.

What records must be kept by governments receiving payment?

A government should keep records sufficient to demonstrate that the amount of Fund payments to the government has been used in accordance with section 601(d) of the Social Security Act.

May recipients deposit Fund payments into interest bearing accounts?

Yes, provided that if recipients separately invest amounts received from the Fund, they must use the interest earned or other proceeds of these investments only to cover expenditures incurred in accordance with section 601(d) of the Social Security Act and the Guidance on eligible expenses. If a government deposits Fund payments in a government's general account, it may use those funds to meet immediate cash management needs provided that the full amount of the payment is used to cover necessary expenditures. Fund payments are not subject to the Cash Management Improvement Act of 1990, as amended.

May governments retain assets purchased with payments from the Fund?

Yes, if the purchase of the asset was consistent with the limitations on the eligible use of funds provided by section 601(d) of the Social Security Act.

What rules apply to the proceeds of disposition or sale of assets acquired using payments from the Fund?

If such assets are disposed of prior to December 30, 2020, the proceeds would be subject to the restrictions on the eligible use of payments from the Fund provided by section 601(d) of the Social Security Act.

Are Fund payments to State, territorial, local, and tribal governments considered grants?

No. Fund payments made by Treasury to State, territorial, local, and Tribal governments are not considered to be grants but are "other financial assistance" under 2 C.F.R. § 200.40.

Are Fund payments considered federal financial assistance for purposes of the Single Audit Act?

Yes, Fund payments are considered to be federal financial assistance subject to the Single Audit Act (31 U.S.C. §§ 7501-7507) and the related provisions of the Uniform Guidance, 2 C.F.R. § 200.303 regarding internal controls, §§ 200.330 through 200.332 regarding subrecipient monitoring and management, and subpart F regarding audit requirements.

Are Fund payments subject to other requirements of the Uniform Guidance?

Fund payments are subject to the following requirements in the Uniform Guidance (2 C.F.R. Part 200): 2 C.F.R. § 200.303 regarding internal controls, 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.330 through 200.332 regarding subrecipient monitoring and management, and subpart F regarding audit requirements.

Is there a Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number assigned to the Fund?

Yes. The CFDA number assigned to the Fund is 21.019.

If a State transfers Fund payments to its political subdivisions, would the transferred funds count toward the subrecipients' total funding received from the federal government for purposes of the Single Audit Act?

Yes. The Fund payments to subrecipients would count toward the threshold of the Single Audit Act and 2 C.F.R. part 200, subpart F re: audit requirements. Subrecipients are subject to a single audit or program-

specific audit pursuant to 2 C.F.R. § 200.501(a) when the subrecipients spend \$750,000 or more in federal awards during their fiscal year.

Are recipients permitted to use payments from the Fund to cover the expenses of an audit conducted under the Single Audit Act?

Yes, such expenses would be eligible expenditures, subject to the limitations set forth in 2 C.F.R. § 200.425.

If a government has transferred funds to another entity, from which entity would the Treasury Department seek to recoup the funds if they have not been used in a manner consistent with section 601(d) of the Social Security Act?

The Treasury Department would seek to recoup the funds from the government that received the payment directly from the Treasury Department. State, territorial, local, and Tribal governments receiving funds from Treasury should ensure that funds transferred to other entities, whether pursuant to a grant program or otherwise, are used in accordance with section 601(d) of the Social Security Act as implemented in the Guidance.



OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL

EXHIBIT – 3

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

July 2, 2020

OIG-CA-20-021

MEMORANDUM FOR CORONAVIRUS RELIEF FUND RECIPIENTS

FROM: Richard K. Delmar /s/
Deputy Inspector General

SUBJECT: Coronavirus Relief Fund Reporting and Record Retention
Requirements

Title VI of the Social Security Act, as amended by Title V of Division A of the *Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act* (Public Law 115-136), provides that the Department of the Treasury (Treasury) Office of Inspector General (OIG) is responsible for monitoring and oversight of the receipt, disbursement, and use of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments. Treasury OIG also has authority to recover funds in the event that it is determined a recipient of a Coronavirus Relief Fund payment failed to comply with requirements of subsection 601(d) of the Social Security Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 801(d)). Accordingly, we are providing recipient reporting and record retention requirements that are essential for the exercise of these responsibilities, including our conduct of audits and investigations.

Reporting Requirements and Timelines

Each prime recipient of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments¹ shall report Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) related “costs incurred” during the “covered period”² (the period beginning on March 1, 2020 and ending on December 30, 2020), in the manner of and according to the timelines outlined in this memorandum. As described below, each prime recipient shall report interim and quarterly data and other recipient data according to these requirements. Treasury OIG is working on development of a portal with GrantSolutions³ that is expected to be operational on

¹ Prime recipients include all 50 States, Units of Local Governments, the District of Columbia, U.S. Territories, and Tribal Governments that received a direct payment from Treasury in accordance with Title V.

² Refer to Treasury’s guidance dated June 30, 2020 for more information on costs incurred and the covered period.

³ A grant management service provider under the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

September 1, 2020, for recipients to report data on a quarterly basis. Until the GrantSolutions portal is operational, each prime recipient shall follow the interim reporting requirements. Treasury OIG will notify each prime recipient when GrantSolutions is operational or of any changes to the expected September 1, 2020 start date.

Interim Reporting for the period March 1 through June 30, 2020

By no later than July 17, 2020, each prime recipient is responsible for reporting costs incurred during the period March 1 through June 30, 2020. For this interim report, prime recipients need only report totals by the following broad categories:

- a. Amount transferred to other governments;
- b. Amount spent on payroll for public health and safety employees;
- c. Amount spent on budgeted personnel and services diverted to a substantially different use;
- d. Amount spent to improve telework capabilities of public employees;
- e. Amount spent on medical expenses;
- f. Amount spent on public health expenses;
- g. Amount spent to facilitate distance learning;
- h. Amount spent providing economic support;
- i. Amount spent on expenses associated with the issuance of tax anticipation notes; and
- j. Amount spent on items not listed above.

Recipients should consult Treasury's guidance and Frequently Asked Questions in reporting costs incurred during the period March 1 through June 30, 2020. The total of all categories must equal the total of all costs incurred during that period. A spreadsheet is attached for your use in providing the data. As discussed below, the prime recipient will be required to report information for the period March 1 through June 30, 2020 into GrantSolutions once it is operational.

Quarterly Reporting

Each prime recipient of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments shall report COVID-19 related costs into the GrantSolutions portal. Data required to be reported includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1. the total amount of payments from the Coronavirus Relief Fund received from Treasury;
2. the amount of funds received that were expended or obligated for each project or activity;
3. a detailed list of all projects or activities for which funds were expended or obligated, including:
 - a. the name of the project or activity;
 - b. a description of the project or activity; and

4. detailed information on any loans issued; contracts and grants awarded; transfers made to other government entities; and direct payments made by the recipient that are greater than \$50,000.

The prime recipient is responsible for reporting into the GrantSolutions portal information on uses of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments.

Recipient Portal Access: For future quarterly reporting, each prime recipient will have GrantSolutions portal access for three (3) individuals: two (2) designees (preparers) to input quarterly data and one (1) official authorized to certify that the data is true, accurate, and complete.⁴ **By no later than July 17, 2020**, please provide the name, title, email address, phone number, and postal address of these individuals so that portal access can be granted. After this information is received, guidance on the GrantSolutions portal access and data submission instructions will be issued separately.

Reporting timeline

By no later than September 21, 2020, recipients shall submit via the portal the first detailed quarterly report, which shall cover the period March 1 through June 30, 2020. Thereafter, quarterly reporting will be due no later than 10 days after each calendar quarter. For example, the period July 1 through September 30, 2020, must be reported no later than October 13, 2020 (Tuesday after the 10th day of October and the Columbus Day Holiday). Reporting shall end with either the calendar quarter after the COVID-19 related costs and expenditures have been liquidated and paid or the calendar quarter ending September 30, 2021, whichever comes first.

Record Retention Requirements

Recipients of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments shall maintain and make available to the Treasury OIG upon request all documents and financial records sufficient to establish compliance with subsection 601(d) of the Social Security Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 801(d)), which provides:

(d) USE OF FUNDS.—A State, Tribal government, and unit of local government shall use the funds provided under a payment made under this section to cover only those costs of the State, Tribal government, or unit of local government that—

1. are necessary expenditures incurred due to the public health emergency with respect to COVID-19;
2. were not accounted for in the budget most recently approved as of the date of enactment of this section for the State or government; and

⁴ The certifying official is an authorized representative of the recipient organization with the legal authority to give assurances, make commitments, enter into contracts, and execute such documents on behalf of the recipient.

3. were incurred⁵ during the period that begins on March 1, 2020, and ends on December 30, 2020.

Records to support compliance with subsection 601(d) may include, but are not limited to, copies of the following:

1. general ledger and subsidiary ledgers used to account for (a) the receipt of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments and (b) the disbursements from such payments to meet eligible expenses related to the public health emergency due to COVID-19;
2. budget records for 2019 and 2020;
3. payroll, time records, human resource records to support costs incurred for payroll expenses related to addressing the public health emergency due to COVID-19;
4. receipts of purchases made related to addressing the public health emergency due to COVID-19;
5. contracts and subcontracts entered into using Coronavirus Relief Fund payments and all documents related to such contracts;
6. grant agreements and grant subaward agreements entered into using Coronavirus Relief Fund payments and all documents related to such awards;
7. all documentation of reports, audits, and other monitoring of contractors, including subcontractors, and grant recipient and subrecipients;
8. all documentation supporting the performance outcomes of contracts, subcontracts, grant awards, and grant recipient subawards;
9. all internal and external email/electronic communications related to use of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments; and
10. all investigative files and inquiry reports involving Coronavirus Relief Fund payments.

Records shall be maintained for a period of five (5) years after final payment is made using Coronavirus Relief Fund monies. These record retention requirements are applicable to all prime recipients and their grantees and subgrant recipients, contractors, and other levels of government that received transfers of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments from prime recipients.

Thank you and we appreciate your assistance.

⁵ Refer to Treasury's guidance dated June 30, 2020 for more information on the definition of costs incurred.



OFFICE OF
INSPECTOR GENERAL

EXHIBIT 3
Addendum 3-1

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

July 31, 2020

OIG-CA-20-025

MEMORANDUM FOR CORONAVIRUS RELIEF FUND RECIPIENTS

FROM: Richard K. Delmar /s/
Deputy Inspector General

SUBJECT: Coronavirus Relief Fund Reporting Requirements Update

On July 2, 2020, my office issued memorandum *OIG-CA-20-021, Coronavirus Relief Fund Recipient Reporting and Record Retention Requirements* informing you of the Department of the Treasury (Treasury) Office of Inspector General's (OIG) monitoring and oversight responsibilities related to the Coronavirus Relief Fund, among other things. Specifically, Title VI of the Social Security Act, as amended by Title V of Division A of the *Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act* (Public Law 116-136), provides that Treasury OIG is responsible for monitoring and oversight of the receipt, disbursement, and use of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments. Treasury OIG also has authority to recover funds in the event that it is determined a recipient of a Coronavirus Relief Fund payment failed to comply with requirements of subsection 601(d) of the Social Security Act, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 801(d)). Recipient reporting and record retention requirements are essential for the exercise of these responsibilities, including our conduct of audits and investigations.

This memorandum augments and clarifies the prime recipient's quarterly reporting requirements contained in memorandum *OIG-CA-20-021*. We plan to use reported data to support our office's Coronavirus Relief Fund compliance monitoring and oversight efforts and for audit and investigative purposes. In addition, reported data will be provided to the Pandemic Response Accountability Committee (PRAC), which will report the data on its website in accordance with Section 15010 of the CARES Act.¹

¹ P. L. 116-136 (March 27, 2020), Section 15010, established the PRAC within the Council of Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency to promote transparency and conduct and support oversight of covered funds and the coronavirus response to (1) prevent and detect fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement; and (2) mitigate major risks that cut across program and agency boundaries. The PRAC's website will provide data on relevant operational, economic, financial, grant, subgrant, contract, and subcontract information in user-friendly visual presentations to enhance public awareness of the use of covered funds and the Coronavirus response.

Reporting Requirements

The Treasury OIG has engaged GrantSolutions, a grant and program management Federal shared service provider under the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, to develop a customized and user-friendly reporting solution to capture the use of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments. In this regard, the GrantSolutions portal will be prepopulated with prime recipient data to include the Coronavirus Relief Fund payment amount, date, recipient Dun & Bradstreet unique identification number (DUNS number), and contact information. It is the responsibility of the prime recipients² to report on uses of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments. Accordingly, each prime recipient shall report Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) related costs incurred³ during the covered period (the period beginning on March 1, 2020, and ending on December 30, 2020), as follows.

Projects

List all projects⁴ the prime recipient plans to complete with Coronavirus Relief payments. For each project, the prime recipient will be required to enter the project name, identification number (created by the prime recipient), description, and status of completion. Once a project is entered into the GrantSolutions portal, the prime recipient will be able to report on the project's obligations and expenditures.

Expenditure Categories

Once expenditures are entered against obligations, the prime recipient will need to select the specific expenditure category from the available options from a dropdown menu:

- a. Administrative Expenses
- b. Budgeted Personnel and Services Diverted to a Substantially Different Use
- c. COVID-19 Testing and Contact Tracing
- d. Economic Support (Other than Small Business, Housing, and Food Assistance)
- e. Expenses Associated with the Issuance of Tax Anticipation Notes
- f. Facilitating Distance Learning
- g. Food Programs
- h. Housing Support
- i. Improve Telework Capabilities of Public Employees
- j. Medical Expenses

² Prime recipients include all 50 States, units of local governments, the District of Columbia, U.S. Territories, and Tribal Governments that received a direct Coronavirus Relief Fund payment from Treasury in accordance with the CARES Act.

³ Refer to Treasury's *Guidance for State, Territorial, Local, and Tribal Governments* updated June 30, 2020, at <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/Coronavirus-Relief-Fund-Guidance-for-State-Territorial-Local-and-Tribal-Governments.pdf>, for more information on costs incurred and the covered period.

⁴ Projects are a grouping of related activities that together are intended to achieve a specific goal.

- k. Nursing Home Assistance
- l. Payroll for Public Health and Safety Employees
- m. Personal Protective Equipment
- n. Public Health Expenses
- o. Small Business Assistance
- p. Unemployment Benefits
- q. Workers' Compensation
- r. Items Not Listed Above - to include other eligible expenses that are not captured in the available expenditure categories

Each prime recipient shall also provide detailed obligation and expenditure information for any contracts and grants awarded, loans issued, transfers made to other government entities, and direct payments made by the prime recipient that are greater than or equal to \$50,000 as follows.

Contracts Greater Than or Equal to \$50,000

- a. Contractor identifying and demographic information (e.g. DUNS number and location)
- b. Contract number
- c. Contract date, type, amount, and description
- d. Primary place of contract performance
- e. Related project name(s)
- f. Period of performance start date
- g. Period of performance end date
- h. Quarterly obligation amount
- i. Quarterly expenditure amount
- j. Expenditure categories (listed above)

Grants Greater Than or Equal to \$50,000

- a. Grantee identifying and demographic information (e.g. DUNS number and location)
- b. Award number
- c. Award date, amount, and description
- d. Award payment method (reimbursable or lump sum payment(s))
- e. Related project name(s)
- f. Period of performance start date
- g. Period of performance end date
- h. Primary place of performance
- i. Quarterly obligation amount
- j. Quarterly expenditure amount
- k. Expenditure categories (listed above)

Loans Greater Than or Equal to \$50,000

- a. Borrower identifying and demographic information (e.g. DUNS number and location)
- b. Loan number
- c. Loan amount, date (date when loan signed by prime recipient and borrower), and description
- d. Loan expiration date (date when loan expected to be paid in full)
- e. Purpose of loan
- f. Primary place of performance
- g. Related project(s)
- h. Quarterly obligation amount
- i. Quarterly payments on outstanding loans
- j. Recipient plans for reuse of Coronavirus Relief Fund loan repayments
- k. Loan/expenditure categories

Transfers to Other Government Entities Greater Than or Equal to \$50,000

- a. Transferee/government unit identifying and demographic information (e.g. DUNS number and location)
- b. Transfer date, amount, and description
- c. Related project(s)
- d. Quarterly obligation amount
- e. Quarterly expenditure information
- f. Expenditure categories (listed above)

Direct Payments Greater Than or Equal to \$50,000

- a. Payee identifying and demographic information (e.g. DUNS number and location)
- b. Direct Payments amount and date
- c. Related project(s)
- d. Quarterly obligation amount
- e. Quarterly expenditure amount
- f. Expenditure categories (listed above)

Aggregate reporting below \$50,000

Aggregate reporting is allowed on contracts, grants, transfers made to other government entities, loans, direct payments, and payments to individuals that are below \$50,000.

Certification and Submission

As noted in our July 2, 2020 memorandum, each prime recipient was required to designate two preparers to enter data into GrantSolutions and an authorizing official, who is responsible for certification and submission of the recipient's quarterly report. Preparers are only permitted to enter data into the required fields and validate entries once completed. Authorizing officials are responsible for reviewing and certifying the information prior to submission within the portal. Accordingly, these individuals will be granted user permissions in the GrantSolutions portal.

Once a report submission is complete, the Treasury OIG will review the submission to ensure that the prime recipient has reported all required information and accounted for the current period's obligations, expenditures, and loan payments, among other information. The Treasury OIG will approve final submissions that are determined to be complete. After approval of the prime recipient's report, certain data fields that do not change will be carried forward to reduce reporting burden in future quarters. All prime recipient data will be captured on a quarterly and cumulative basis.

Reporting Timeline

By no later than September 21, 2020, the prime recipient's authorizing official shall certify and submit via the GrantSolutions portal the first detailed quarterly report, which shall cover the period of March 1 through June 30, 2020. Thereafter, quarterly reporting will be due no later than 10 calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter. If the 10th calendar day falls on a weekend or a Federal holiday, the due date will be the next working day. For example, the period July 1 through September 30, 2020, must be reported no later than Tuesday, October 13, 2020 (considers that the 10th calendar is on a weekend and the following Monday is a Federal Holiday). The table below summarizes the quarterly reporting timeline for prime recipients of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments.

Reporting Cycle	Reporting Period	Reporting Due Date	OIG Review Period	Data Extract to PRAC
Cycle 1	3/1-6/30/2020	9/21/2020	9/22-29/2020	9/30/2020
Cycle 2	7/1-9/30/2020	10/13/2020	10/14-20/2020	10/21/2020
Cycle 3	10/1-12/31/2020	1/11/2021	1/12-20/2021	1/21/2021
Cycle 4	1/1-3/31/2021	4/12/2021	4/13-20/2021	4/21/2021
Cycle 5	4/1-6/30/2021	7/12/2021	7/13-20/2021	7/21/2021
Cycle 6	7/1-9/30/2021	10/12/2021	10/13-20/2021	10/21/2021

Reporting Preparation and Training

To prepare for the initial reporting cycle, each prime recipient should ensure that any current or potential sub-recipients are registered in SAM.gov.⁵ While each prime recipient is responsible for reporting on its sub-recipients, sub-recipient registration in SAM.gov will enable detailed sub-recipient data to be imported into the GrantSolutions portal. Therefore, the prime recipient should require that sub-recipients register with SAM.gov prior to September 1, 2020.

In anticipation of GrantSolutions portal becoming operational on September 1, 2020, training will be provided on portal access and use during the last week of August 2020.

Reporting Questions

For questions regarding eligible uses of Coronavirus Relief Fund payments, please first consult Treasury's *Guidance for State, Territorial, Local, and Tribal Governments* and Treasury's *Coronavirus Relief Fund Frequently Asked Questions* documents which are located at <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/cares/state-and-local-governments>. You may also contact Treasury OIG with questions about reporting requirements at CARES@oig.treas.gov or Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST, at 1 (855)-584-4853.

Thank you and we appreciate your compliance with these reporting requirements.

⁵ The System for Award Management (SAM) is an official website of the U.S. government. Entities are required to register at SAM.gov to do business with the U.S. government.

Attachment A

DESIGNATION OF AUTHORITY

Instructions for Completion

The **Designation of Authority Form** should be completed in its entirety, listing the name and information for all representatives who will be authorized agents for the Miami-Dade County (County) Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES Act) Corona Relief Fund (CRF) Program. The form is divided into six blocks; each block must be completed where appropriate.

Block 1: “Authorized Agent” – This should be the highest authority in your Municipality who is authorized to sign legal documents on behalf of your Municipality. (Only one Authorized Agent is allowed).

Block 2: “Primary Agent” – This is the person designated by your Municipality to receive all correspondence and is our main point of contact. This contact will be responsible for answering questions, uploading documents, and submitting reports/requests in the County's Grants Management System. The Primary Agent is usually not the Authorized Agent but should be responsible for updating all internal stakeholders on all Program activities. (Only one Primary Agent is allowed).

Block 3: “Alternate Agent” – This is the person designated by your Municipality to be available when the Primary is not. (Only one Alternate Agent is allowed).

Block 4, 5, and 6: “Authorized Agent to Request Funds/Reimbursements” – These are the persons authorized to execute requests for reimbursement, certification, or other required documents on behalf of the Municipality.

**DESIGNATION OF AUTHORITY
CORONAVIRUS AID, RELIEF, AND ECONOMIC SECURITY ACT (CARES ACT)
CORONA RELIEF FUND (CRF) PROGRAM**

Municipality:

Box 1: Authorized Agent

Agent's Name

Signature

Organization / Official Position

Mailing Address

City, State, Zip

Daytime Telephone

E-mail Address

Box 2: Primary Agent

Agent's Name

Signature

Organization / Official Position

Mailing Address

City, State, Zip

Daytime Telephone

E-mail Address

Box 3: Alternate Agent

Agent's Name

Signature

Organization / Official Position

Mailing Address

City, State, Zip

Daytime Telephone

E-mail Address

Box 4: Authorized Agent to Request Funds/Reimbursements

Official's Name

Signature

Organization / Official Position

Mailing Address

City, State, Zip

Daytime Telephone

E-mail Address

Box 5: Authorized Agent to Request Funds/Reimbursements

Agent's Name

Signature

Organization / Official Position

Mailing Address

City, State, Zip

Daytime Telephone

E-mail Address

Box 6: Authorized Agent to Request Funds/Reimbursements

Agent's Name

Signature

Organization / Official Position

Mailing Address

City, State, Zip

Daytime Telephone

E-mail Address

The above Primary and Alternate Agents are hereby authorized to execute and sign the Interlocal and other pertinent documents related to the CARES Act CRF Program. The persons designated in boxes 4 through 6 are authorized to execute requests for reimbursement, certification, or other required documents on behalf of the Municipality.

Municipality Authorized Agent Signature

Date

FAQs
First Responder Temporary 1% Hazardous Pay COVID-19
CARES (CRF) Reimbursement

1. Q. Is the 1% Hazardous Pay just on base pay? Is the 1% bonus based on “base pay” or “extended pay” (supplemental premiums per collective bargaining agreement)?
A. Base pay. It is not a bonus.
2. Q. What level of detail (documentation) will Miami-Dade County require?
A. Each municipality will need to submit the following documentation through the online Grants Management System:
 1. Payroll documentation that outlines the following information:
 - i. Name,
 - ii. Job title and function,
 - iii. Days and hours worked
 - iv. Pay rate and fringe benefit rate
 2. Hazard pay policy for your municipality
 3. Regular pay policy
 4. If hazard pay is not represented separately on the payroll documentation, a salary rate sheet for all employees being claimed
3. Q. Is the 1% supplement a pay increase or lump sum bonus?
A. The one percent is applied to base pay. It is not a bonus. For **administrative ease** as allowed under the US Treasury FAQs, the temporary 1% hazard pay may be applied to all sworn officers for hours physically worked ONLY. The temporary 1% hazard pay is NOT to be paid on any leave hours or considered as the regular rate of pay for the purposes of any other leave payouts, separation pay or any other type of payment. Refer to Q #2 for documentation required.
4. Q. Because there are other pay considerations when employees are paid, is this considered a “lump” sum payment?
B. You can pay as a lump sum payment/adjustment to pay. The temporary 1% hazard pay is NOT to be paid on any leave hours or considered the regular rate of pay for the purposes of any other leave payouts, separation pay or any other type of payment. Refer to Q #2 for documentation required.
5. Q. If it is a pay increase, is it based on all hours worked during the relevant time period; if it is a bonus, is it based on all worked during the relevant time period or only straight time hours? For employees who fall within the definition of public safety employees, will all their hours worked during the relevant time period be eligible for the pay supplement?
A. Only hours **physically** worked may be considered for payment of the temporary 1% Hazard Pay. No leave hours (vacation, sick, education, jury duty, personal time off, administrative leave, etc.) are eligible for the temporary 1% Hazard Pay.
6. Q. Are part-time employees eligible for the pay supplement?
A. Yes.

7. Q. Is it pensionable?
 - A. Depends on your pension plan. Seek guidance with your respective pension provider. The County has sought guidance from Florida Retirement System (FRS) and is pending a response (as of 8/7/2020).
8. Q. What about reimbursement for the impact if it is pensionable?
 - A. If it is in accordance with your normal pay policies and deemed pensionable by your pension provider, yes, you may seek reimbursement. In addition to the required documentation indicated in #Q.2, you should provide information on applicable fringe rates as it relates to pension rates (employer rate).
9. Q. Will the county be paying these individuals directly or will money be provided to each city/jurisdiction and each city pays their employees directly?
 - A. Each municipality will be responsible for paying their employees, unless other arrangements are made with the municipality and the County, as is the case with those employees who are contracted with Miami-Dade County.
10. Q. Is the temporary 1% Hazard Pay subject to Fica/Mica?
 - A. Yes.
11. Q. Is there a definition of “public safety” – does it include dispatchers, code officers, other employees who support public safety? What definition do we use to define “1st responder” /“public safety personnel?” Sworn Fire and Police? Dispatchers? Code officers? Or is it “essential personnel” defined by the City? What staff is the County including as “first responders” for the supplement? Is it just sworn Police and Fire or does this extend to civilian support, code compliance, Public Safety Communications and Ocean Rescue? Is it for all first responders and not just for those assigned to a COVID squad or specific task force?
 - A. For administrative ease, all public safety, sworn officers which include Police, Fire and Corrections personnel may be eligible for the temporary 1% Hazard Pay for hours physically worked for the approved time periods. It does not include “civilian” or “all essential employees”.
12. Q. Should each individual city/jurisdiction develop its own MOU and send to Miami-Dade County?
 - A. Each municipality should work collaboratively with their collective bargaining partners to memorialize via MOU or other agreed upon understanding (or a ratification process if required) the parameters of the temporary 1% Hazard Pay (base pay, eligibility of personnel, method of payment, time period of eligibility, frequency of payment, etc.).
13. Q. The presentation indicates an effective date of March 1, 2020 through December 30, 2020 – is March 1st the date Miami-Dade County declared the emergency? Or is it effective from when the municipality declared the emergency? Since we are in July, is the 1% lump sum to be issued to employees for a future date – until December?
 - A. Reimbursement may be requested for the period of March 1, 2020 through December 30, 2020.
14. Q. How will this 1% monies be paid to the City? In other words, will we send you a bill indicating the amounts we would be paying our employees?
 - A. Each Municipality will need to provide an invoice and payment will be made on actual expenditures related to the temporary 1% Hazard Pay upon submittal of the invoice and supporting documentation as described in Q#2. You will need to upload all invoices and supporting

documentation into the **Grant Management System (County's online portal)**. Further instructions will be provided on how to access.

15. Q. Because we (West Miami) are a small agency of less than 25 officers, all of our officers including members of the command staff have at one point or another dedicated time to mitigate the impact of the pandemic. Visiting business, ensuring closures and following the Mayor's Executive Orders have made all of our employees vulnerable. In addition, we have also had three of our officers test positive for COVID.
 - A. Refer to #11 regarding classifications eligible for reimbursement.
16. Q. Please note that the Town of Miami Lakes police patrol services are provided through a contract with Miami-Dade County in which we are invoiced quarterly. This means we do not have police officers on our payroll, and as such, we are reaching out to get a better understanding of the how this will affect us as a contract municipality, and clarification on documentation we need to submit, if any.
 - A. The County will include the temporary payment to the "contracted employees" directly in their regular Miami-Dade County paychecks. As is the case with all payroll expenses, the County will seek reimbursement from the Town of Miami Lakes through the already established procedures after which the Town of Miami Lakes may seek CARES Act reimbursement for the applicable temporary 1% Hazard Pay.



TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH

One Golden Beach Drive
Golden Beach, FL 33160

MEMORANDUM

Date: August 18, 2020

To: Honorable Mayor Glenn Singer &
Town Council Member

From: Alexander Diaz,
Town Manager

Item Number:

6

**Subject: Resolution No. 2686.20 - A Resolution of the Town Council
Authorizing and Approving a Contribution of \$2,500 to the
Alonzo and Tracy Mourning High School**

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Town Council adopt the attached Resolution No. 2686.20 as presented.

Background:

The Alonzo and Tracy Mourning Senior High School is an "A" rated school that many high school aged children in Golden Beach attend. This contribution will allow for the school to continue to provide excellent service to all of its students by providing the necessary funds to purchase material items for students that the Miami-Dade County School Board does not pay for.

Fiscal Impact:

\$2,500.00 from our General Fund Budget

TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA

RESOLUTION NO. 2686.20

A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING THE PAYMENT OF \$2,500 TO THE ALONZO AND TRACY MOURNING PTSA; PROVIDING FOR IMPLEMENTATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Town of Golden Beach prides itself in being an advocate for higher learning and higher education; and

WHEREAS, the Alonzo and Tracy Mourning PTSA was created with the intention to support quality education and the pursuit of higher education; and

WHEREAS, the Town of Golden Beach lies within the boundaries of service for the Alonzo and Tracy Mourning Senior High School; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council finds that a contribution in the amount of \$2,500.00 to the Alonzo and Tracy Mourning PTSA is in the best interest of the Town to further the missions and objectives of the foundation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals Adopted. That each of the above-stated recitals is hereby adopted and confirmed.

Section 2. Authorization. That the payment of \$2,500.00 to the Alonzo and Tracy Mourning PTSA is hereby authorized and approved.

Section 3. Implementation. That the Mayor and Town Manager are authorized to take any and all action which is necessary to implement this Resolution.

Section 4. **Effective Date.** That this Resolution shall become effective immediately upon approval of the Town Council.

Sponsored by the **Town Administration.**

The Motion to adopt the foregoing resolution was offered by _____ seconded by _____, and on roll call the following vote ensued:

Mayor Glenn Singer	_____
Vice Mayor Bernard Einstein	_____
Councilmember Judy Lusskin	_____
Councilmember Jaime Mendal	_____
Councilmember Kenneth Bernstein	_____

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Town Council of the Town of Golden Beach, Florida, this 18th day of August, 2020.

MAYOR GLENN SINGER

ATTEST:

LISSETTE PEREZ
TOWN CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

STEPHEN J. HELFMAN
TOWN ATTORNEY

July 27, 2020



Mourning Senior High
PTSA
everychild.one voice

PTSA Executive Board

Monica M^cKenzie
President

Carla Mast
Executive VP

Linda Nae
VP Programs

Maiky Perez de Corcho
VP Fundraising

Mindy Festge
VP Legislation

Don Festge
VP Volunteers

Mila Ostrovsky
VP Membership

Silvana Goncalves
Treasurer

Danielle Goodman
Corresponding Secretary

Erin Sicker
Recording Secretary

Paola Martinez
School Liaison

Christopher Shinn
Principal

2601 NE 151st St
North Miami FL 33160

atmptsa@gmail.com

Alexander Diaz
Town Manager
Town of Golden Beach
1 Golden Beach Drive
Golden Beach, FL 33160

TOWN MANAGER

AUG 5 2020

RECEIVED

Dear Mr. Diaz,

My name is Monica M^cKenzie, and I am the newly elected President of the Mourning Senior High PTSA. I was previously on the board of the Sunny Isles Beach PTSA and I am a Sunny Isles Beach resident.

For each of the past few years, the Town of Golden Beach has generously donated \$5,000 to our PTSA. Your donations have allowed us to upgrade our school in many ways! After we noticed how many students were sitting on the ground during lunch, we were able to purchase numerous extra benches and tables so that our students could be more comfortable at lunchtime. In addition, we added concrete benches to the front of our school, as well as created a "senior lounge" with additional tables. We could not have done this without the town's significant contributions!

Our efforts to dedicate our new senior lounge were unfortunately cancelled due to the pandemic. Hopefully we will be able to reschedule in the future!

We would like to ask for your continued support with a \$5,000 donation if you are able to do so. Our expenses this year will be nearly the same as usual, but our fundraising -- selling uniforms -- is going to be exceptionally difficult until all students are back in school full time.

Thank you so much for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Monica M^cKenzie
President, Mourning Senior High PTSA
AtmPtsaPres@gmail.com
Cell: 305-469-2444

LP
\$ 2500
AUG. MEET



TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH

One Golden Beach Drive
Golden Beach, FL 33160

MEMORANDUM

Date: August 18, 2020

Item Number:

To: Honorable Mayor Glenn Singer &
Town Council Members

7

From: Alexander Diaz,
Town Manager

Subject: Resolution No. 2687.20 – Authorizing the Purchase of Two Yamaha Engines for the Marine Patrol Vessel

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Town Council adopt the attached Resolution No. 2687.20 as presented.

Background and History:

The Town of Golden Beach Police Department is requesting the purchase of Two Yamaha Marine engines with installation.

The vessel currently has 2014 Twin Yamaha 300hp Engines which have over 4268hrs almost three times that of the average lifespan of a recreational outboard. Therefore, making these engines unreliable for patrol at this time. The vessel in last few months has gone in for service many times and at this point it's recommended to obtain residual value from the current motors in order to use to lower the cost of the new engines.

The Marine engines will be installed on our current vessel for police patrols and public safety.

Financial Impact:

Two Yamaha 300hp Marine Engines with installation for a total cost of \$32,628.00 this includes a credit of \$7,000.00 for trading in the current engines.

TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA

RESOLUTION NO. 2687.20

A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF TWO YAMAHA MARINE MOTORS FOR THE TOWN'S MARINE PATROL VESSEL; AUTHORIZING THE USE OF GENERAL FUNDS FOR THE PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF THE EQUIPMENT; PROVIDING FOR IMPLEMENTATION; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Town desires to purchase Two Yamaha 300 hp Marine Engines in order to continue to provide service to the Marine Unit and the residents of Golden Beach; and

WHEREAS, the costs to the Town to purchase and equip the vessel with Two New Yamaha 300 hp engines with installation is projected to be \$32,628.00, includes: two motors, installation and accessories; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council desires to utilize General funds to pay for the Yamaha motors for the Police Marine Unit; and

WHEREAS, the Chief of Police has recommended that the \$32,628.00 cost be taken from the Town's General Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council finds that it is in the best interest of the Town to proceed as indicated in this Resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals Adopted. That each of the above-stated recitals is hereby adopted and confirmed.

Section 2. Authorization of Approval. The approval and execution of the purchase agreement of the Yamaha Motors, as described and outlined in the

Agenda Item Report attached and incorporated herein, is hereby authorized and approved.

Section 3. Implementation. That the Mayor and Town Manager are authorized to take any and all action which is necessary to implement this Resolution.

Section 4. Effective Date. That this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon adoption.

The Motion to adopt the foregoing Resolution was offered by _____, seconded by _____ and on roll call the following vote ensued:

Mayor Glenn Singer	_____
Vice Mayor Bernard Einstein	_____
Councilmember Jaime Mendal	_____
Councilmember Kenneth Bernstein	_____
Councilmember Judy Lusskin	_____

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Town Council of the Town of Golden Beach, Florida, this ____ day of _____, 2020.

MAYOR GLENN SINGER

ATTEST:

LISSETTE PEREZ
TOWN CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

STEPHEN J. HELFMAN
TOWN ATTORNEY



TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH

One Golden Beach Drive
Golden Beach, FL 33160

MEMORANDUM

Date: August 18, 2020

To: Honorable Mayor Glenn Singer &
Town Council Members

From: Alexander Diaz,
Town Manager

Item Number:

8

Subject: Resolution No. 2688.20 – Proposed Millage Rate, Voted Millage
Rate and Budget Hearing Dates

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Town Council adopt the attached Resolution No. 2688.20 as presented.

Background:

The Administration is recommending a total combined millage rate for the Town of Golden Beach that would not exceed 8.4000 **for TRIM (Truth In Millage) notice**.

In the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic the process to develop a balanced budget has been time-intensive and challenging. There were many difficult trade-offs that were thoughtfully considered. I believe that my team and I have risen to the occasion and that I am proposing a combined millage rate that adequately funds the Town's Operating and Capital Budget and that will address our organization's highest priorities for the upcoming fiscal year.

When I present our budgets at the September 8, 2020 and September 22, 2020 budget hearings, it will continue our focus on providing **"value of services for tax dollars paid"** by continuing to provide those services our residents have come to expect of Golden Beach and this Administration.

The Town's Millage

The Town's assessed values of \$1,179,521,503 is the highest it has ever been, and is a strong indication that our community continues to be a highly sought after place to live and solid investment for our residents. The Town has seen an unprecedented number of new pending sales indicating strength in our real estate market.

I recommend that the Town's combined millage rate remain unchanged. If the Council approves my recommendation, our combined millage rate will remain at 8.400 mills, the same as the previous year.

General Fund Operating Millage

The 7.772 operating millage, which at 95% will generate \$8,708,879 for the General Fund Budget, is \$354,011 more than the current fiscal year, where our ad valorem portion of the operating budget was approved at \$8,354,868.

Keep in mind that there is a one-time non-recurring infusion of \$200,000 to the General Fund Millage Calculations; the Town is allocating \$200,000 from the Debt Service Fund Balance for Debt payment allowing us to increase the millage rate in the Operating Budget.

The Proposed Millage Rates for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 are:

General Operating	7.7720 (7.5780 last year, 0.194 increase)
Voted Debt Service	.6280 (.8220 last year, 0.194 decrease)
Total	8.4000




Year	Assessed Value	Operating Millage	Ad Valorem Revenues
2007-2008	705,403,202	8.5000	\$5,995,927
2008-2009	727,052,005	7.6050	\$5,529,230
2009-2010	712,373,295	7.1525	\$5,095,250
2010-2011	644,237,679	7.0140	\$4,518,683
2011-2012	630,682,606	6.9799	\$4,402,101
2012-2013	633,839,127	6.9950	\$4,433,704
2013-2014	688,604,864	7.1130	\$4,898,046
2014-2015	760,202,266	7.2450	\$5,507,665
2015-2016	848,449,766	7.2480	\$5,863,687
2016-2017	952,564,565	7.3960	\$7,045,168
2017-2018	1,035,263,421	7.4800	\$7,743,770
2018-2019	1,095,765,448	7.4800	\$8,196,325
2019-2020	1,160,543,438	7.5780	\$8,794,598
2020-2021	1,179,521,503	7.7720	\$9,167,241**

** State law permits that we budget only 95%, thus, \$8,706,879 is available for budgeting purposes

General Obligation Debt Service Fund Millage

The anticipated general obligation debt service payment for Fiscal Year 2020//2021 is approximately \$902,481. Based on the Certified Taxable Values from the Property Appraiser, we are recommending that we levy a debt service millage of .6280, equal to \$740,739 and at the 95% rate it will equal \$703,702 (less than required); and we are recommending allocating \$200,000 from the Debt Service Fund Balance to meet our payment and alleviating a portion of the millage that will be used in the General Fund Millage rate.



Year	Assessed Value	Debt Millage	Ad Valorem Revenues
2008-2009	727,052,005	0.8950	\$618,175
2009-2010	712,373,295	1.3475	\$911,926
2010-2011	644,237,679	1.4860	\$909,470
2011-2012	630,682,606	1.5201	\$910,765
2012-2013	633,839,127	1.5050	\$906,231
2013-2014	688,604,864	1.3870	\$907,340
2014-2015	760,202,266	1.2550	\$906,351
2015-2016	848,449,766	1.1252	\$906,941
2016-2017	952,564,565	1.004	\$908,556
2017-2018	1,035,263,421	.9200	\$952,442
2018-2019	1,095,765,448	.9200	\$957,699
2019-2020	1,160,543,438	.8220	\$906,268
2020-2021	1,179,521,503	.6280	\$740.739

We are providing you with a copy of the Revenue and Expenditure summaries for the proposed FY 2020 Budget and an anticipated FY 2021 Budget (will be sent prior to the meeting).

TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA

RESOLUTION NO. 2688.20

A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA, RATIFYING THE MAXIMUM PROPOSED MILLAGE RATE FOR F/Y 2020-2021 THAT WAS TRANSMITTED TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF FLORIDA STATUTES AND THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on July 1, 2020, The Property Appraiser of Miami-Dade County, Florida, served upon the Town of Golden Beach (the "Town") a "Certification of Taxable Value" certifying to the Town its 2020 taxable value; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of Section 200.065, Florida Statutes, require that within thirty-five (35) days of service of the Certification of Taxable Value upon a municipality, said municipality shall be required to furnish to the Property Appraiser of Miami-Dade County the proposed millage rate, the current year rolled-back rate, and the date, time, and place at which a public hearing will be held to consider the proposed millage and the tentative budget; and

WHEREAS, the Town through its Mayor and Manager have complied with the submittal requirements; and

WHEREAS, based upon preliminary valuations provided by the Property Appraiser, the Town Council agreed for the Town, through the Mayor and Manager, to advise the Property Appraiser that the proposed millage shall be an amount not to exceed 7.7720 mills and .6280 of voted millage.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE
TOWN OF GOLDEN BEACH, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1. Recitals Adopted. That each of the above stated recitals is hereby adopted and confirmed.

Section 2. Acceptance. The Town Council acknowledges and accepts that the Town Mayor and Manager set the Proposed Millage and the Proposed Voted Millage at a rate not to exceed 7.7720 mills and .6280 voted mills respectively, and shall advise the Property Appraiser of said rates and provide the Property Appraiser with all other information required by law.

Section 3. Effective Date. That this Resolution shall be effective immediately upon adoption.

Sponsored by **the Town Administration.**

The Motion to adopt the foregoing Resolution was offered by _____,
seconded by _____, and on roll call the following vote ensued:

Mayor Glenn Singer	_____
Vice Mayor Bernard Einstein	_____
Councilmember Judy Lusskin	_____
Councilmember Jaime Mendal	_____
Councilmember Kenneth Bernstein	_____

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Town Council of the Town of Golden Beach,
Florida, this 18th day of August, 2020.

MAYOR GLENN SINGER

ATTEST:

LISSETTE PEREZ
TOWN CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM
AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

STEPHEN J. HELFMAN
TOWN ATTORNEY